Reply Appl Reply

Appla. No. 09/539,834

Reply to Office Action of April 11, 2006

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER SEP 0 1 2003

REMARKS

On August 7, 2006, Applicant filed it Amendment G in response to the April 11, 2006 Office Action. On Augsut 15, 2006 the Examiner issued an Advisiory Action noting that Applicant's Amendment H has not been entered. Applicant now files this Amendment H in response to the April 11, 2006 Office Action.

Claims 9. 10 and 13

Applicant notes that in its Amendment F dated February 21, 2006, typographical errors where made in claims 9, 10 and 13. These claims were designated as "previously presented" claims, and it was, of course, not intended that the typographical errors be entered as amendments. It is Applicant's understanding, therefore, that the Examiner has allowed claims 9, 10 and 13 as originally presented by the Applicant on June 11, 2002 and as again presented without amendment on June 3, 2003 in Response to the December 4, 2002 Office Action, and on April 6, 2005 in response to the December 13, 2004 Office Action. If Applicant's understanding in this regard is incorrect, Applicant requests that the Examiner contact Applicant's attorney regarding the status of the claims.

Allowable Claims

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the indication of allowablity with respect to claims
7-13 and 19. Applicant has cancelled claims 14-18 and 20 which were rejected to by the
Examiner. Applicant asserts that each of the pending claims of the Application are now in
condition for allowance. Should the present claims not be deemed in condition for